1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 DOCK McNEELY, Civ. S-00-1358 DFL PAN P 12 Petitioner, 13 V. 14 LOU BLANAS, 15 Respondent. NON-RELATED CASE ORDER 16 Civ. S-00-1508 WBS DAD DOCK McNEELY, 17 Plaintiff, 18 V. 19 SACRAMENTO COUNTY, et al. 20 Defendants. 21 22 DOCK McNEELY, Civ. S-00-2797 DFL PAN P 23 Plaintiff, 24 V. 25 SACRAMENTO COUNTY, et al. 26 Defendants.

Case 2:01-cv-02084-EJG-GGH Document 11 Filed 02/01/06 Page 2 of 4

1	DOCK McNEELY,		Civ.	S-01-2084	EJG	GGH	Р
2	Plaintiff,						
3	V.						
4	ROY K. SIMMONS, et al.						
5	Defendants.	/					
6	DOCK McNEELY,	_/	Cisa	S-03-2172	דיםת	D 7\ NI	
7	Plaintiff,		CIV.	5 05 2172	ВΕЦ	FAIN	
8	v.						
9	EDWARD BONNER, et al.						
10	Defendants.						
11		_/					
12	DOCK McNEELY,		Civ.	S-04-0062	DFL	PAN	
13	Plaintiff,						
14	V .						
15	LOU BLANAS, et al.						
16	Defendants.	/					
17	DOCK McNEELY,	_'	Civ.	S-04-1215	DFL	PAN	
18	Plaintiff,						
19	V.						
20	EDWARD BONNER, et al.						
21	Defendants.						
22		_/					
23							
24							
25							
26							

Case 2:01-cv-02084-EJG-GGH Document 11 Filed 02/01/06 Page 3 of 4

1	DOCK McNEELY, Civ. S-05-1401 MCE DAD
2	Plaintiff,
3	V.
4	SACRAMENTO COUNTY, et al.
5	Defendants.
6	DOCK McNEELY, Civ. S-05-2549 WBS DAD
7	Plaintiff,
8	
9	V.
10	PLACER COUNTY, et al.
11	Defendants/
12	
13	A Notice of Related Cases was filed concerning the above
14	captioned cases on December 16, 2005. See Local Rule 83-123
15	(E.D. Cal. 2005). On review of the cases, the court finds that
16	the cases ought not be related or reassigned. This order is
17	issued for informational purposes only, and shall have no effect
18	on the status of the cases, including any previous Related (or
19	Non-Related) Case Order of this court. Therefore, they shall not
20	be considered related.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	DATED: 1/31/2006
23	
24	(Dov.) 7. (Ev.
2.5	(Jav.) 7. (sv.

DAVID F. LEVI

United States District Judge

26

Case 2:01-cv-02084-EJG-GGH Document 11 Filed 02/01/06 Page 4 of 4